IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.M.JAMES

FRIDAY, THE 23RD MARCH 2007 / 2ND CHAITHRA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 152 of 2007(S)

AGAINST THE ORDER IN WPC.1874/2007 Dated 16/01/2007

.....

PETITIONER:

SWAMY. M., S/O.LATE KUNJATHAN,
SWEEPER-CUM-SCAVENGER, KERALA AYURVEDIC
STUDIES & RESEARCH SOCIETY,
KOTTAKKAL, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.M.V.THAMBAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. SRI.P.V.JOSEPH, AGED 52 YEARS, FATHERS
NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
WORKING AS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
KERALA AYURVEDIC STUDIES AND
RESEARCH SOCIETY, EDIRIKODE P.O., KOTTAKKAL,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

2. S. VIJAYAKUMARI AMMA,
FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
WORKING AS PRINCIPAL,
VIDYARATNAN P.S. VARIYAR, KERALA AYURVEDIC
STUDIES & RESEARCH SOCIETY, EDIRIKODE P.O.,
KOTTAKKAL, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.T.R.RAVI

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 23/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

J.M. JAMES, J.

C.O.C. No.152 of 2007

in W.P.(C) No.1874/2007

Dated this the 23rd March, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

In a statement filed by respondents 1 and 2, as per the direction of this Court, it is stated that there is one vacancy in the Ayurveda College Hospital, as a person who had been temporarily advised from the list of the employment exchange had not joined duty so far. Hence, an appointment letter, dated 20/03/2007, had been issued, to the petitioner, by the first respondent.

2. The learned counsel for respondents 1 and 2 had showed the appointment letter, dated 20/03/2007, directing the petitioner herein to appear before the first respondent, within seven days from the date of receipt of the letter and, he would be appointed on his appearance, subject to the conditions contained in the said letter.

3. The counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the letter has not so far been received by the petitioner. It is also submitted by the counsel that on knowing about the issuance of the appointment order, the petitioner appeared before the first respondent. But he was not appointed for want of identification.

4. In the above facts situation, I direct the petitioner to appear before the first respondent, Executive Director of Kerala Ayurvedic Studies and Research Society, Kottakkal, Malappuram District, within three days from today, with such documents, like ration card, electoral card or any other document to prove his identity, if he has not received the appointment order till now. As this petitioner was working from 2001 onwards, under the first respondent, the Executive Director shall identify the petitioner, with the help of the other staff under him, or who had worked with the petitioner and, appoint him immediately on his appearance.

This contempt of court case is accordingly closed.

J.M. JAMES,
JUDGE

ms